



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

FONDREN ORTHOPEDIC GP
LLP

Respondent Name

NEW HAMPSHIRE INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-0876-01

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

November 18, 2013

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "I write this letter to you as a request for reconsideration on the above date of service. We are in receipt of additional payment in regards to the billed procedure 99455 V3 26 impairment rating. According to the fee guidelines we are entitled to reimbursement of \$300.00 for the injured body area as well as for the level of service billed for. Due to fat that billed professional potion it would be payable at 80% of the allowable which is \$334.92. However the payment received was in the amount of \$203.80. At this time we are respectfully you reprocess this claim and pay the additional amount due of \$131.12."

Amount in Dispute: \$131.12

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "AIG has reviewed the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Request/Response (DWC-60). In reviewing the report, it is the carrier's position that the bill was paid correctly. The exam by the treating doctor is completed by the fee schedule for the evaluation and management code (v3=99213) + the modifier indicating method for determining impairment (RM or DRE). The report did not contain the treating doctor's determination method for impairment. The "total impairment rating was 0%," so when the modifier is added we calculated using the DRE method because the report of examination finished with the results of an arm vascularization study and no range of motion was performed."

Response Submitted by: AIG

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 06, 2013	CPT Code 99455-V3-26	\$131.12	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guideline for workers' compensation specific services on or after March 1, 2008.

3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
- W1 – WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
 - 1 – NO REDUCTION AVAILABLE
 - 2 – THE AMOUNT PAID REFLECTS A FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE
 - 3 – THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE

Issues

1. What rules are applicable to the disputed services?
2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 states "(j) Maximum Medical Improvement and/or Impairment Rating (MMI/IR) examinations shall be billed and reimbursed as follows: (1) The total MAR for an MMI/IR examination shall be equal to the MMI evaluation reimbursement plus the reimbursement for the body area(s) evaluated for the assignment of an IR. The MMI/IR examination shall include: (A) the examination; (B) consultation with the injured employee; (D) the preparation and submission of reports (including the narrative report, and responding to the need for further clarification, explanation, or reconsideration), calculation tables, figures, and worksheets; and, (E) tests used to assign the IR, as outlined in the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (AMA Guides), as stated in the Act and Division rules in Chapter 130 of this title (relating to Impairment and Supplemental Income Benefits). (3) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation. (A) An examining doctor who is the treating doctor shall bill using CPT Code 99455 with the appropriate modifier. (i) Reimbursement shall be the applicable established patient office visit level associated with the examination. (ii) Modifiers "V1", "V2", "V3", "V4", or "V5" shall be added to the CPT code to correspond with the last digit of the applicable office visit. (4) The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. (A) The HCP shall include billing components of the IR evaluation with the applicable MMI evaluation CPT code. The number of body areas rated shall be indicated in the units column of the billing form. (C) For musculoskeletal body areas, the examining doctor may bill for a maximum of three body areas. (i) Musculoskeletal body areas are defined as follows: (I) spine and pelvis; (II) upper extremities and hands; and, (III) lower extremities (including feet). (ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. (I) \$150 for each body area if the Diagnosis Related Estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides 4th edition is used. (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area; and (-b-) \$150 for each additional musculoskeletal body area. (iv) If, in accordance with §130.1 of this title (relating to Certification of Maximum Medical Improvement and Evaluation of Permanent Impairment), the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and assigns the IR, but does not perform the range of motion, sensory, or strength testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), then the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with CPT modifier "26." Reimbursement shall be 80 percent of the total MAR.

Review of submitted documentation DWC-69 notes the type of evaluation to be performed for the injured worker is an impairment rating examination, however, the report provided does not determine impairment rating.

Division notes reviewed finds DWC-32 (Request for Designated Doctor Examination) requesting maximum medical improvement and impairment rating examinations.

CPT Code 99455-V3-26 does not support the service performed. Documentation is not supported.

2. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$203.80. Based upon the documentation submitted, no additional reimbursement is recommended

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

_____	_____	8/15/14
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.**

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.